UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,542	02/20/2004	Hanspeter Pfister	MERL-1538	7438
22199 7590 02/24/2009 MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC. 201 BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E	
	8TH FLOOR CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Examiner CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE 2621  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponder for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TO THE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply his specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing and of this communication.  - If NO period for reply with the treat or extended period for reply will by statute. Cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce served patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{06 March 2008}\$.  2a) ★ This action is FINAL.  2b) ★ This action is non-final.  3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex\$ parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) ★ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.8.10-20 and 22-29}{2.8}\$ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 2.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 2.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ 2.6.10 ★ 2.	R ET AL.			
## Examiner CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE 2621  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponder for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TO THE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply his specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing and of this communication.  - If NO period for reply with the treat or extended period for reply will by statute. Cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce served patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{06 March 2008}\$.  2a) ★ This action is FINAL.  2b) ★ This action is non-final.  3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex\$ parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) ★ Claim(s) \$\frac{1.8.10-20 and 22-29}{2.8}\$ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 1.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 2.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ Claim(s) ★ 2.6.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ★ 2.6.10 ★ 2.				
Period for Reply  A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR T WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects (s) (b) MONTH-S must be mailing the diffusion of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects (s) (b) MONTH-S must be mailing the diffusion of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects (s) (b) MONTH-S from the mailing the diffusion of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects (s) (b) MONTH-S from the mailing a status of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects of the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effects of the provision of th	:			
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponeriod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (6) MONTHS from the nailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the sall of seal of this communication to expense MABNIONED (36 IU.S). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce amend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on @6 March 2008.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) ■ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action (a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR T WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing factor of this communication of reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2008.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action (a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR T WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing Failure to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a sended patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 March 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action (a) All b) Some column of the claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) All DI Some column of the claim for foreign priority under	idence address			
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 March 2008</u> .  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-20 and 22-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-20 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-20 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) <u>are subjected to.</u> 8)  Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</u> Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2004</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) All b) Some * c) None of:	date of this communication. C. § 133).			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8.10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to.  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to a subjected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) ☐ AII b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> </ul>				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (a) All b) Some * c) None of:	1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413 Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application is responsive to application number (10783542) filed on October 15, 2007. Claims 1-8, 10-20, 22-29 are pending and have been examined.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 17, 2008 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ritchey (US 5,495,576).

As per claim 1, Ritchey discloses a three-dimensional television system, comprising:

an acquisition stage, comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

a plurality of video cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f), each video camera configured to acquire a video of a dynamically changing scene in real-time (Col 10 Ln 1-9 and Col 26 Ln 62-66); means for synchronizing the plurality of video cameras (Col 11 Ln 49-54); and

a plurality of producer modules (Fig 17, 15a-15f) connected to the plurality of video cameras (Col 26 Ln 9-19), the producers modules configured to compress the videos to compressed videos and to determine viewing parameters of the plurality of video cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f; Col 26 Ln 4-9), in which the viewing parameters include a position (col 10 lns 13-15), orientation (col 10 lns 13-15), field-of-view (col 10 lns 26-28), and focal plane (col 10 lns 40-43) of each video camera (col 10 lns 51-53; the processing unit is part of the producer modules and processes the signature information regarding each camera);

a display stage, comprising:

a plurality of decoder modules (Fig 17, 72a-f) configured to decompress the compressed videos to uncompressed videos (Col 21 Ln 49-52);

a plurality of consumer modules (Fig 17, 72a-f) configured to generate a plurality of output videos from the decompressed videos according to the viewing parameters (col 10 lns 51-53 and col 21 lns 49-52);

a controller (Fig 17, 9) configured to broadcast the viewing parameters to the plurality of decoder modules and the plurality of consumer modules (Col 21 Ln 47-49 and 59-67; the controller takes the video signals and information that correspond to view parameters);

Application/Control Number:

10/783,542 Art Unit: 2621

a three-dimensional display unit (Fig 17, 12) configured to concurrently display the output videos according to the viewing parameters (Col 22 Ln 45-47); and

means of connecting (Fig 17, 80a-80f) the plurality of decoder modules (Fig 17, 72a-f), the plurality of consumer modules (Fig 17, 72a-f), and the plurality of display units (Fig 17, 70-70f); and

a transmission stage,

connecting the acquisition stage to the display stage, configured to transport the plurality of compressed videos and the viewing parameters (Col 32 Ln 23-33).

As per claim 2, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising a plurality of cameras configured to acquire calibration images (Col 11 Ln 61-63 and Col 12 Ln 3-9) displayed on the three-dimensional display unit to determine the viewing parameters (Col 20 Ln 46-56).

As per claim 3, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the display units are projectors (Col 30 Ln 4-10).

As per claim 5, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the threedimensional display unit uses front-projection (Col 31 Ln 67 – Col 32 Ln 2).

As per claim 6, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the threedimensional display unit uses rear-projection (Col 35 Ln 3-7).

As per claim 7, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the display unit uses two-dimensional display element (Col 35 Ln 3-7).

As per claim 8, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the display unit is flexible, and further comprising passive display elements (Col 35 Ln 3-10).

10/783,542 Art Unit: 2621

As per claim 9, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the display unit is flexible, and further comprising active display elements (Col 35 Ln 11-16).

As per claim 10, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which different output images are displayed depending on a viewing direction of a viewer (Col 22 Ln 58-67 and Col 28 Ln 31-37).

As per claim 11, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which static view-dependent images of an environment are displayed such that a display surface disappears (Col 30 Ln 38-51).

As per claim 12, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which dynamic view-dependent images of an environment are displayed such that a display surface disappears (Col 30 Ln 38-51).

As per claim 13, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 11 or 12, in which the view-dependent images of the environment are acquired by a plurality of cameras (Col 10 Ln 1-9 and Col 26 Ln 62-66).

As per claim 14, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which each producer module (Fig 17, 15a) is connected to a subset of the plurality of video cameras (Fig 17, 6a; Col 26 Ln 9-19).

As per claim 15, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the plurality of video cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f) are in a regularly spaced linear and horizontal array (Col 10 Ln 32-34 and Col 11 Ln 66-67).

As per claim 16, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the plurality of video cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f) are arranged arbitrarily (Col 10 Ln 38-41 and Col 11 Ln 66-67).

As per claim 17, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which an optical axis of each video camera is perpendicular to a common plane, and the up vectors of the plurality of video cameras are vertically aligned (Col 12 Ln 44-47).

As per claim 18, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the viewing parameters include intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of the video cameras (Col 11 Ln 55-63 and Col 12 Ln 3-11).

As per claim 19, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, further comprising: means for selecting a subset of the plurality of cameras for acquiring a subset of videos (Col 20 Ln 46-50).

As per claim 20, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which each video is compressed individually and temporally (Col 26 Ln 4-25).

As per claim 21, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the viewing parameters include a position, orientation, field-of-view, and focal plane, of each video camera (Col 10 Ln 9-16, Col 11 Ln 55-61, and Col 12 Ln 1-9).

As per claim 22, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the controller determines, for each output pixel o(u, v) in the output video, a view number v and a position of each source pixel s(v, x, y) in the decompressed videos that contributes to the output pixel in the output video (Col 15 Ln 7-15 and Col 17 Ln 4-30; the prior art

10/783,542 Art Unit: 2621

addresses input values using a look-up table, which consist of weights, to find output pixel values).

As per claim 23, refer to the rejection of claim 22 and also the output pixel values are fundamentally a linear combination of source (input) pixel values.

As per claim 24, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 22, in which a block of the source pixels contribute to each output pixel (Col 15 Ln 7-15 and Col 17 Ln 4-30; the prior art discloses frames, which are blocks of pixels).

As per claim 27, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which an arrangement of the cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f) and an arrangement of the display units (Fig 17, 70a-70f), with respect to the display unit, are substantially identical (Col 21 Ln 33-37).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

10/783,542 Art Unit: 2621

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchey (US 5,495,576).

As per claim 4, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1.

However, Ritchey does not teach in which the display units are organic light emitting diodes.

In the same field of endeavor, organic light emitting diodes are well-known and would have been obvious to use as a display unit as stated by the applicant I background of the instant application. The examiner takes official notice.

8. Claims 25 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchey (US 5,495,576) in view of Ezzra et al (US 5,703,717).

As per claim 25, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1.

However, Ritchey does not explicitly teach in which the three-dimensional display unit includes a display-side lenticular sheet, a viewer-side lenticular sheet, a diffuser, and substrate between each lenticular sheets and the diffuser.

In the same field of endeavor, in which the three-dimensional display unit includes a display-side lenticular sheet (Fig 4, 34), a viewer-side lenticular sheet (Fig 4, 36), a diffuser (Fig 3, 35), and substrate between each lenticular sheets and the diffuser

Art Unit: 2621

(Col 4 Ln 11-31; the prior art discloses that the lenticular screens have substrates on them to bond to the diffuser).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ritchey with that of Ezzra. The advantage would have been to increase that separation of the 2D views at the observer and a reasonable angular spread is obtained (Col 4 Ln 26-30).

As per claim 26, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1.

However, Ritchey does not explicitly teach in which the three-dimensional display unit includes a display-side lenticular sheet, a reflector, and a substrate between the lenticular sheets and the reflector.

In the same field of endeavor, Ezzra et al teach in which the three-dimensional display unit includes a display-side lenticular sheet (Fig 4, 34), a reflector (Fig 4, 35; diffusion plate can act as a reflector), and a substrate between the lenticular sheets and the reflector (Col 4 Ln 11-31; the prior art discloses that the lenticular screens have substrates on them to bond to the diffuser).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ritchey with that of Ezzra. The advantage would have been to increase that separation of the 2D views at the observer and a reasonable angular spread is obtained (Col 4 Ln 26-30).

9. Claims 28 and 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritchey (US 5,495,576) in view of Nayar et al (US 2004/0070565).

As per claim 28, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1, in which the plurality of cameras (Fig 17, 6a-6f; Col 10 Ln 1-9 and Col 26 Ln 62-66).

However, Ritchey does not teach in which the plurality of cameras acquire highdynamic range videos.

In the same field of endeavor, Nayar et al teach in which the plurality of cameras (Fig 24, 502) acquire high-dynamic range videos (paragraph [0124]).

Therefore, it would have obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the of the invention to modify Ritchey with Nayar et al. It is advantageous to use Nayar et al to overcome resolution limitations (paragraph [0124] Ln 8-11).

As per claim 29, Ritchey discloses the system of claim 1.

However, Ritchey does not teach in which the display units display high-dynamic range images of the output videos.

In the same field of endeavor, Nayar et al teach in which the display units (Fig 32, 506) display high-dynamic range images of the output videos (paragraph [0124] and [128]; the reference discloses high-dynamic range video for a camera and the display would have to be high-dynamic also to display the images from the camera).

Therefore, it would have obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the of the invention to modify Ritchey with Nayar et al. It is advantageous to use Nayar et al to overcome resolution limitations (paragraph [0124] Ln 8-11).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE whose telephone number is (571)270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272 - 7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Chikaodili E Anyikire/ Patent Examiner AU 2621